Nevada

Grades 4 and 8 Public Schools

State Mathematics 2011



This report provides selected results for Nevada's public school students at grades 4 and 8 from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assessment in mathematics. Results are reported by average scale scores and by achievement levels (*Basic, Proficient,* and *Advanced*).

State-level results in mathematics are available for nine assessment years (at grade 8 in 1990; and at both grades 4 and 8 in 1992, 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2009, and 2011), although not all states may have participated or met the criteria for reporting in every year. All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Department of Defense schools participated in the 2011 mathematics assessment at grades 4 and 8.

For more information about the assessment, see the NAEP website http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ which contains

- The Nation's Report Card, Mathematics 2011
- The full set of national and state results in an interactive database
- Released test questions, scoring guides, and question-level performance data

NAEP is a project of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), reporting on the academic achievement of elementary and secondary students in the United States.



KEY FINDINGS FOR 2011

Grade 4:

- In 2011, the average mathematics score for fourth-grade students in Nevada was 237. This was lower than that of the nation's public schools (240).
- The average score for students in Nevada in 2011 (237) was higher than that in 1996 (218) and was not significantly different from that in 2009 (235).
- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Proficient* was 36 percent. This was smaller than that for the nation's public schools (40 percent).
- The percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 (36 percent) was greater than that in 1996 (14 percent) and was not significantly different from that in 2009 (32 percent).
- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Basic* was 79 percent. This was smaller than that for the nation's public schools (82 percent).
- The percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Basic* in 2011 (79 percent) was greater than that in 1996 (57 percent) and was not significantly different from that in 2009 (79 percent).

Grade 8:

- In 2011, the average mathematics score for eighth-grade students in Nevada was 278. This was lower than
 that of the nation's public schools (283).
- The average score for students in Nevada in 2011 (278) was higher than that in 2000 (265) and was higher than that in 2009 (274).
- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Proficient* was 29 percent. This was smaller than that for the nation's public schools (34 percent).
- The percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 (29 percent) was greater than that in 2000 (18 percent) and in 2009 (25 percent).
- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above *Basic* was 67 percent. This was smaller than that for the nation's public schools (72 percent).
- The percentage of students in Nevada who performed at or above Basic in 2011 (67 percent) was greater than that in 2000 (55 percent) and in 2009 (63 percent).

Introduction

What Was Assessed?

The content for each NAEP assessment is determined by the National Assessment Governing Board. The framework for each assessment documents the content and process areas to be measured and sets guidelines for the types of questions to be used. The mathematics frameworks were developed with the guidance of the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) and under the direction of the Governing Board. The current framework is available at the Governing Board's website http://www.nagb.org/publications/frameworks/math-2011-framework.pdf.

For grades 4 and 8, the mathematics framework for the 2011 assessment is similar to earlier versions that guided the 1990, 1992, 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009 mathematics assessments. Although the frameworks are updated periodically, the mathematics content objectives for grades 4 and 8 have not changed, allowing students' performance in 2011 to be compared with previous years.

Content Areas and Mathematical Complexity

The 2011 mathematics framework classifies assessment questions in two dimensions, *content area* and *mathematical complexity*, that are used to guide the assessment. Each question is designed to measure one of the five content areas. However, certain aspects of mathematics, such as computation, occur in all content areas. Although the names of the content areas (as well as some topics in those areas) have changed from one framework to the next, a consistent focus has remained on measuring student performance in all five content areas. The distribution of questions among each content area differs by grade to reflect the knowledge and skills appropriate for each grade level.

- Number properties and operations measures students' understanding of ways to represent, calculate, and estimate with numbers.
- **Measurement** measures students' knowledge of measurement attributes, such as capacity and temperature, and geometric attributes, such as length, area, and volume.
- Geometry measures students' knowledge and understanding of shapes in a plane and in space.
- Data analysis, statistics, and probability measures students' understanding of data representation, characteristics of data sets, experiments and samples, and probability.
- Algebra measures students' understanding of patterns, using variables, algebraic representation, and functions.

The mathematical complexity of a question refers to the level of cognitive demand it places on students. Each level of complexity includes aspects of knowing and doing mathematics, such as performing procedures, understanding concepts, or solving problems.

- Low complexity questions typically specify what a student is to do, which is often to carry out a routine
 mathematical procedure.
- Moderate complexity questions involve more flexibility of thinking and often require a response with multiple steps.
- High complexity questions make heavier demands and often require abstract reasoning or analysis in a novel situation.

Assessment Design

Because of the breadth of the content covered in the NAEP mathematics assessment, each student took just a portion of the test, consisting of two 25-minute sections. Most student's testing time was divided evenly between multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Short constructed-response questions asked students to provide the answer for a numerical problem or to briefly describe the solution to a problem. Longer constructed-response questions required students to write both a solution and its justification, explanation, or interpretation. Released test questions, along with student performance data by state, are available on the NAEP website at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/itmrls/.

Some questions in the 2011 assessment incorporated the use of calculators (four-function calculators at grade 4 and scientific or graphing calculators at grade 8), rulers, protractors (at grade 8), or manipulatives such as spinners and geometric shapes. Calculator use at all grades was permitted on approximately one-third of the assessment.

Who Was Assessed?

All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Department of Defense Schools participated in the 2011 mathematics assessment at grades 4 and 8. The overall participation rates for schools and students must meet guidelines established by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and the National Assessment Governing Board for assessment results to be reported publicly. A participation rate of at least 85 percent for schools in each subject and grade was required. Participation rates for the 2011 mathematics assessment are available on the NAEP website at http://nationsreportcard.gov/math 2011/participation.asp.

The schools and students participating in NAEP assessments are selected to be representative both nationally and for public schools at the state level. The comparisons between national and state results in this report present the performance of public school students only. In NAEP reports, the category "nation (public)" does not include Department of Defense or Bureau of Indian Education schools.

How Is Student Mathematics Performance Reported?

The 2011 state results are compared to results from seven earlier assessments at grade 4 and from eight earlier assessments at grade 8.

Scale Scores: Student performance is reported as an average score based on the NAEP mathematics scale, which ranges from 0 to 500 for grades 4 and 8. Because NAEP scales are developed independently for each subject and for each content area within a subject, the scores cannot be compared across subjects or across content areas within the same subject. Results are also reported at five percentiles (10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th) to show trends in performance for lower-, middle-, and higher-performing students.

Achievement Levels: Based on recommendations from policymakers, educators, and members of the general public, the Governing Board has set specific achievement levels for each subject area and grade. Achievement levels are performance standards indicating what students should know and be able to do. They provide another perspective with which to interpret student performance. NAEP results are reported in terms of three achievement levels—*Basic, Proficient*, and *Advanced*—and are expressed in terms of the percentage of students who attained each level. The three achievement levels are defined as follows:

- Basic denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade.
- Proficient represents solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level
 have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge,
 application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and appropriate analytical skills.
- Advanced represents superior performance.

The achievement levels are cumulative; therefore, students performing at the *Proficient* level also display the competencies associated with the *Basic* level, and students at the *Advanced* level also demonstrate the competencies associated with both the *Basic* and the *Proficient* levels.

As provided by law, NCES, upon review of congressionally mandated evaluations of NAEP, has determined that achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted with caution. The NAEP achievement levels have been widely used by national and state officials. The mathematics achievement-level descriptions are summarized in figures 1-A and 1-B.

Figure	The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment
1-A	Descriptions of fourth-grade achievement levels for 2011 NAEP mathematics assessment

	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should show some evidence of understanding the mathematical concepts and procedures in the five NAEP content areas.
--	--

Fourth-graders performing at the *Basic* level should be able to estimate and use basic facts to perform simple computations with whole numbers, show some understanding of fractions and decimals, and solve some simple real-world problems in all NAEP content areas. Students at this level should be able to use—although not always accurately—four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes. Their written responses are often minimal and presented without supporting information.

Proficient	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should consistently apply integrated
Level	procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to problem solving in the five NAEP content
(249)	areas.

Fourth-graders performing at the *Proficient* level should be able to use whole numbers to estimate, compute, and determine whether results are reasonable. They should have a conceptual understanding of fractions and decimals; be able to solve real-world problems in all NAEP content areas; and use four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes appropriately. Students performing at the *Proficient* level should employ problem-solving strategies such as identifying and using appropriate information. Their written solutions should be organized and presented both with supporting information and explanations of how they were achieved.

Advance	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Advanced</i> level should apply integrated procedural
Level	knowledge and conceptual understanding to complex and nonroutine real-world problem solving
(282)	in the five NAEP content areas.

Fourth-graders performing at the *Advanced* level should be able to solve complex and nonroutine real-world problems in all NAEP content areas. They should display mastery in the use of four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes. These students are expected to draw logical conclusions and justify answers and solution processes by explaining why, as well as how, they were achieved. They should go beyond the obvious in their interpretations and be able to communicate their thoughts clearly and concisely.

NOTE: The scores in parentheses in the shaded boxes indicate the lowest point on the 0-500 scale at which the achievement-level range begins.

SOURCE: National Assessment Governing Board. (2010). *Mathematics Framework for the 2011 National Assessment of Educational Progress*. Washington, DC: Author.

F	igure	The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment
	1-B	Descriptions of eighth-grade achievement levels for 2011 NAEP mathematics assessment

Basic Level (262) Eighth-grade students performing at the *Basic* level should exhibit evidence of conceptual and procedural understanding in the five NAEP content areas. This level of performance signifies an understanding of arithmetic operations—including estimation—on whole numbers, decimals, fractions, and percents.

Eighth-graders performing at the *Basic* level should complete problems correctly with the help of structural prompts such as diagrams, charts, and graphs. They should be able to solve problems in all NAEP content areas through the appropriate selection and use of strategies and technological tools—including calculators, computers, and geometric shapes. Students at this level also should be able to use fundamental algebraic and informal geometric concepts in problem solving.

As they approach the *Proficient* level, students at the *Basic* level should be able to determine which of the available data are necessary and sufficient for correct solutions and use them in problem solving. However, these eighth-graders show limited skill in communicating mathematically.

Proficien
Level
(299)

Eighth-grade students performing at the *Proficient* level should apply mathematical concepts and procedures consistently to complex problems in the five NAEP content areas.

Eighth-graders performing at the *Proficient* level should be able to conjecture, defend their ideas, and give supporting examples. They should understand the connections among fractions, percents, decimals, and other mathematical topics such as algebra and functions. Students at this level are expected to have a thorough understanding of *Basic* level arithmetic operations—an understanding sufficient for problem solving in practical situations.

Quantity and spatial relationships in problem solving and reasoning should be familiar to them, and they should be able to convey underlying reasoning skills beyond the level of arithmetic. They should be able to compare and contrast mathematical ideas and generate their own examples. These students should make inferences from data and graphs, apply properties of informal geometry, and accurately use the tools of technology. Students at this level should understand the process of gathering and organizing data and be able to calculate, evaluate, and communicate results within the domain of statistics and probability.

Advanced Level (333) Eighth-grade students performing at the *Advanced* level should be able to reach beyond the recognition, identification, and application of mathematical rules in order to generalize and synthesize concepts and principles in the five NAEP content areas.

Eighth-graders performing at the *Advanced* level should be able to probe examples and counterexamples in order to shape generalizations from which they can develop models. Eighth-graders performing at the *Advanced* level should use number sense and geometric awareness to consider the reasonableness of an answer. They are expected to use abstract thinking to create unique problem-solving techniques and explain the reasoning processes underlying their conclusions.

NOTE: The scores in parentheses in the shaded boxes indicate the lowest point on the 0-500 scale at which the achievement-level range begins.

SOURCE: National Assessment Governing Board. (2010). *Mathematics Framework for the 2011 National Assessment of Educational Progress*. Washington, DC: Author.

Assessing Students With Disabilities and/or English Language Learners

Testing accommodations, such as extra testing time or individual (rather than group) administration, are provided for students with disabilities (SD) or English language learners (ELL) who could not fairly and accurately demonstrate their abilities without modified test administration procedures. In 1996, administration procedures were introduced at the national level allowing certain accommodations for students requiring such accommodations to participate.

In state NAEP mathematics assessments prior to 2000, no testing accommodations or adaptations were permitted for SD or ELL students. In 2000, NAEP was administered using a split sample of schools—one sample in which accommodations were permitted for special-needs students who normally received them and another sample in which accommodations were not permitted. Therefore, there were two different sets of results available for 2000, and both are shown in the tables in this report. Results for the assessment years when accommodations were not permitted in state NAEP assessments (1990, 1992, 1996) are reported in the same tables as the results when accommodations were permitted (2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011).

Even with the availability of accommodations, however, some students may still be excluded from the NAEP assessment. Due to differences in policies and practices regarding the identification and inclusion of SD and ELL students, variations in exclusion and accommodation rates should be considered when comparing students' performance over time and across states. The types of accommodations used in the 2011 NAEP mathematics assessment are available on the NAEP website at http://nationsreportcard.gov/math_2011/type_accomm.asp

Interpreting Results

The scores and percentages in this report are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. In addition, the collection of questions used at each grade level is only a sample of the many questions that could have been asked to assess the skills and abilities described in the NAEP framework. Comparisons over time or between groups are based on statistical tests that consider both the size of the differences and the standard errors of the two statistics being compared. Standard errors are margins of error, and estimates based on smaller groups are likely to have larger margins of error. The size of the standard errors may also be influenced by other factors such as how representative the assessed students are of the entire population. Statistical tests that factor in these standard errors are used to determine whether the differences between average scores or percentages are significant. All differences were tested for statistical significance at the .05 level using unrounded numbers.

NAEP sample sizes have increased since 2002 compared to previous years, resulting in smaller standard errors. As a consequence, smaller differences are detected as statistically significant than were detected in previous assessments. In addition, estimates based on smaller groups are likely to have relatively large standard errors. Thus, some seemingly large differences may not be statistically significant. That is, it cannot be determined whether these differences are due to sampling error, or to true differences in the population of interest.

Differences between scores or between percentages are discussed in this report only when they are significant from a statistical perspective. Significant differences between 2011 and prior assessments are marked with a notation (*) in the tables. Any differences in scores within a year or across years that are mentioned in the text as "higher," "lower," "greater," or "smaller" are statistically significant.

Score or percentage differences or gaps cited in this report are calculated based on differences between unrounded numbers. Therefore, the reader may find that the score or percentage difference cited in the text or tables may not be identical to the difference obtained from subtracting the rounded values shown in the accompanying tables or figures.

The reader is cautioned against making simple causal inferences between student performance and the other variables (e.g., race/ethnicity, gender, and type of school location) discussed in this report. A statistically significant relationship between a variable and measures of student performance does not imply that the variable causes differences in how well students perform. The relationship may be influenced by a number of other variables not accounted for in this report, such as family income, parental involvement, or student attitudes.

NAEP 2011 Mathematics Overall Average Score and Achievement-Level Results for Public School Students

Overall mathematics results for public school students from Nevada are reported in this section, as well as regional and national results. The regions defined by the U.S. Census Bureau are Northeast, South, Midwest, and West (http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/hsts/tabulations/regions.asp). Trend data by region are not provided for assessment years prior to 2003.

Prior to 2000, testing accommodations were not provided for students with special needs in NAEP state mathematics assessments. For 2000, results are displayed for both the sample in which accommodations were permitted and the sample in which they were not permitted. Subsequent assessment results were based on the more inclusive samples. In the text of this report, comparisons to 2000 results refer only to the sample in which accommodations were permitted.

Overall Scale Score Results

Student performance is reported as an average score based on the NAEP mathematics scale, which ranges from 0 to 500 for grades 4 and 8.

Tables 1-A and 1-B show the overall performance results of grades 4 and 8 public school students in Nevada, the nation (public), and the region. Prior to 2003, the list of states that comprise a given region for NAEP differed from the list used by the U.S. Census Bureau, which has been used in NAEP from 2003 onward. Therefore, the data for the state's region are given only for 2003, 2005, 2007, 2009, and 2011. The first column of results presents the average score on the NAEP mathematics scale. The remaining columns show the scores at selected percentiles. Percentiles indicate the percentages of students whose scores fell at or below a particular score. For example, the 25th percentile demarks the cut point for the lowest 25 percent of students within the distribution of scale scores.

Grade 4 Scale Score Results

- In 2011, the average scale score for students in Nevada was 237. This was lower than that of students across the nation (240).
- In Nevada, the average scale score for students in 2011 was not significantly different from that in 2009 (235).
 However, the average scale score for students in public schools across the nation in 2011 was higher than that in 2009 (239).
- In Nevada, the average scale score for students in 2011 was higher than the scores in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007. However, it was not significantly different from the score in 2009.

Grade 8 Scale Score Results

- In 2011, the average scale score for students in Nevada was 278. This was lower than that of students across the nation (283).
- In Nevada, the average scale score for students in 2011 was higher than that in 2009 (274). Similarly, the average scale score for students in public schools across the nation in 2011 was higher than that in 2009 (282).
- In Nevada, the average scale score for students in 2011 was higher than the scores in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Table 1-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Average scale scores and selected percentile scores in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by year and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011

Year and juri	isdiction	Average scale score	10th percentile	25th percentile	50th percentile	75th percentile	90th percentile
1996 ¹	Nation (public)	222*	180*	201*	224*	244*	261*
	Nevada	218*	179*	198*	219*	239*	254*
20001	Nation (public)	226*	185*	206*	228*	249*	265*
	Nevada	220*	182*	202*	221*	240*	256*
2000	Nation (public)	224*	183*	203*	225*	247*	264*
	Nevada	220*	182*	201*	221*	240*	256*
2003	Nation (public)	234*	196*	215*	235*	254*	270*
	West ²	230*	191 *	210*	231*	251*	267*
	Nevada	228*	191 *	209*	229*	247*	262*
2005	Nation (public)	237*	199*	219*	239*	257*	272*
	West ²	233*	193*	213*	235*	254*	270*
	Nevada	230*	191 *	211*	232*	250*	265*
2007	Nation (public)	239*	201 *	221	241	259*	274*
	West ²	233*	191 *	213*	236*	256*	272*
	Nevada	232*	192*	213*	234*	253*	268*
2009	Nation (public)	239*	201 *	221*	241*	259*	275*
	West ²	235*	193	214	236*	256*	273
	Nevada	235	199	217	237	254*	269*
2011	Nation (public)	240	202	222	242	260	276
	West ²	237	196	216	239	259	276
	Nevada	237	199	218	239	257	272

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

² Region in which jurisdiction is located. Regional data are not provided for years prior to 2003 to be consistent with the U.S. Census Bureau defined regions.

Table 1-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Average scale scores and selected percentile scores in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by year and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

Year and jurisdiction		Average scale score	10th percentile	25th percentile	50th percentile	75th percentile	90th percentile
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	274*	225*	250*	276*	300*	321*
	Nevada	268*	223*	246*	270*	293*	312*
2000	Nation (public)	272*	221 *	247*	274*	299*	320*
	Nevada	265*	217*	242*	267*	292*	311*
2003	Nation (public)	276*	228*	253*	278*	301*	321*
	West ²	272*	222*	247*	273*	299*	320*
	Nevada	268*	219*	244*	271*	294*	313*
2005	Nation (public)	278*	230 *	254*	279*	303*	323*
	West ²	273*	224*	248*	274*	299*	321*
	Nevada	270*	222*	247*	271*	295*	315*
2007	Nation (public)	280*	234*	257*	281*	305*	325*
	West ²	275*	226*	250*	276*	302*	323*
	Nevada	271 *	224*	247*	271*	296*	318*
2009	Nation (public)	282*	235 *	258*	283*	307*	328
	West ²	276	226	251	277	303	325
	Nevada	274*	229	251*	274*	299	320
2011	Nation (public)	283	236	259	284	308	329
	West ²	278	228	253	279	304	327
	Nevada	278	233	254	279	303	324

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

² Region in which jurisdiction is located. Regional data are not provided for years prior to 2003 to be consistent with the U.S. Census Bureau defined regions.

Overall Achievement-Level Results

Student results are reported as the percentages of students performing relative to performance standards set by the National Assessment Governing Board. These performance standards for what students should know and be able to do were based on the recommendations of broadly representative panels of educators and members of the public.

Tables 2-A and 2-B show the percentage of students at grades 4 and 8 who performed below *Basic*, at or above *Basic*, at or above *Proficient*, and at *Advanced*. Because the percentages are cumulative from *Basic* to *Proficient* to *Advanced*, they may sum to more than 100 percent. Only the percentage of students performing at or above *Basic* (which includes the students at *Proficient* and *Advanced*) plus the students below *Basic* will sum to 100 percent.

Grade 4 Achievement-Level Results

- In 2011, the percentage of Nevada's students who performed at or above *Proficient* was 36 percent. This was smaller than the percentage of the nation's public school students who performed at or above *Proficient* (40 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of students who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the percentages in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but was not significantly different from the percentage in 2009
- In 2011, the percentage of Nevada's students who performed at or above Basic was 79 percent. This was smaller than the percentage of the nation's public school students who performed at or above Basic (82 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of students who performed at or above *Basic* in 2011 was greater than the percentages in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but was not significantly different from the percentage in 2009.

Grade 8 Achievement-Level Results

- In 2011, the percentage of Nevada's students who performed at or above *Proficient* was 29 percent. This was smaller than the percentage of the nation's public school students who performed at or above *Proficient* (34 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of students who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the percentages in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In 2011, the percentage of Nevada's students who performed at or above Basic was 67 percent. This was smaller than the percentage of the nation's public school students who performed at or above Basic (72 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of students who performed at or above Basic in 2011 was greater than the
 percentages in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Table 2-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students at or above NAEP mathematics achievement levels, by year and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011

Year and jurisdiction		Below <i>Basic</i>	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
1996 ¹ Nation (public)		38*	62*	20*	2*
	Nevada	43*	57*	14*	1*
20001	Nation (public)	33*	67*	25*	2*
	Nevada	39*	61*	16*	1*
2000	Nation (public)	36*	64*	22*	2*
	Nevada	40*	60*	16*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	24*	76*	31*	4*
	West ²	29*	71*	27*	3*
	Nevada	31*	69*	23*	1*
2005	Nation (public)	21*	79*	35*	5*
	West ²	26*	74*	31*	4*
	Nevada	28*	72*	26*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	19	81	39*	5*
	West ²	26*	74*	33*	5*
	Nevada	26*	74*	30*	3*
2009	Nation (public)	19*	81*	38*	6*
	West ²	25	75	34*	5
	Nevada	21	79	32	3*
2011	Nation (public)	18	82	40	6
	West ²	23	77	37	6
	Nevada	21	79	36	5

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

² Region in which jurisdiction is located. Regional data are not provided for years prior to 2003 to be consistent with the U.S. Census Bureau defined regions.

Table 2-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students at or above NAEP mathematics achievement levels, by year and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

Year and jurisdiction		Below <i>Basic</i>	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	35*	65*	26*	5*
	Nevada	42*	58*	20*	2*
2000	Nation (public)	38*	62*	25*	5*
	Nevada	45*	55*	18*	2*
2003	Nation (public)	33*	67*	27*	5*
	West ²	39*	61*	25*	5*
	Nevada	41*	59*	20*	3*
2005	Nation (public)	32*	68*	28*	6*
	West ²	38*	62*	25*	5*
	Nevada	40*	60*	21*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	30*	70*	31*	7*
	West ²	36*	64*	27*	6*
	Nevada	40*	60*	23*	4*
2009	Nation (public)	29*	71*	33*	7
	West ²	35	65	28	6
	Nevada	37*	63*	25*	5
2011	Nation (public)	28	72	34	8
	West ²	33	67	30	7
	Nevada	33	67	29	6

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

² Region in which jurisdiction is located. Regional data are not provided for years prior to 2003 to be consistent with the U.S. Census Bureau defined regions.

Comparisons Between Nevada, the Nation, and Participating States and Jurisdictions

All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Department of Defense Schools participated in the 2011 mathematics assessment at grades 4 and 8. References to "jurisdictions" in the results statements may include states, the District of Columbia, and Department of Defense Schools.

Comparisons by Scale Scores

Figures 2-A and 2-B compare Nevada's 2011 overall mathematics scale scores at grades 4 and 8 with those of public schools in the nation and all other participating states and jurisdictions. The different shadings indicate whether the average score of the nation (public), a state, or a jurisdiction was found to be higher than, lower than, or not significantly different from that of Nevada in the NAEP 2011 mathematics assessment.

Grade 4 Scale Score Comparison Results

• The average score for students in Nevada was higher than the scores in 7 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 12 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 32 jurisdictions.


Grade 8 Scale Score Comparison Results


 The average score for students in Nevada was higher than the scores in 8 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 8 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 35 jurisdictions.

Figure 2-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Nevada's average scale score in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students compared with scores for the nation and other participating jurisdictions: 2011







¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools). NOTE: Significance tests used a multiple-comparison procedure based on all jurisdictions that participated. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Figure 2-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Nevada's average scale score in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students compared with scores for the nation and other participating jurisdictions: 2011





¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools). NOTE: Significance tests used a multiple-comparison procedure based on all jurisdictions that participated. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Comparisons by Achievement Levels

Figures 3-A and 3-B permit comparisons of all jurisdictions (and the nation) participating in the NAEP 2011 mathematics assessment in terms of percentages of grades 4 and 8 students performing at or above *Proficient*. The participating states and jurisdictions are grouped into categories reflecting whether the percentage of their students performing at or above *Proficient* (including *Advanced*) was found to be higher than, not significantly different from, or lower than the percentage in Nevada.

Note that the selected state is listed first in its category, and the other states and jurisdictions within each category are listed alphabetically; statistical comparisons among jurisdictions in each of the three categories are not included in this report. However, statistical comparisons among states by achievement level can be calculated online by using the NAEP Data Explorer at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/naepdata/.

Grade 4 Achievement-Level Comparison Results

- The percentage of students performing at or above the *Proficient* level in Nevada was greater than the percentage in 7 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 17 jurisdictions, and smaller than those in 27 jurisdictions.
- The percentage of students performing at or above the *Basic* level in Nevada was greater than the percentage in 7 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 12 jurisdictions, and smaller than those in 32 jurisdictions (data not shown).


Grade 8 Achievement-Level Comparison Results

- The percentage of students performing at or above the *Proficient* level in Nevada was greater than the percentage in 7 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 13 jurisdictions, and smaller than those in 31 jurisdictions.
- The percentage of students performing at or above the Basic level in Nevada was greater than the percentage in 4 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 12 jurisdictions, and smaller than those in 35 jurisdictions (data not shown).

Figure 3-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Average scale scores in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, percentage within each achievement level, and Nevada's percentage at or above *Proficient* compared with the nation and other participating states/jurisdictions: 2011




Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools). NOTE: The bars above contain percentages of students in each NAEP mathematics achievement level. Achievement levels corresponding to each population of students are aligned at the point where the *Proficient* category begins, so that they may be compared at *Proficient* and above. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers. The shaded bars are graphed using unrounded numbers. Significance tests used a multiple-comparison procedure based on all jurisdictions that participated.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Figure 3-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Average scale scores in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, percentage within each achievement level, and Nevada's percentage at or above *Proficient* compared with the nation and other participating states/jurisdictions: 2011



Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools). NOTE: The bars above contain percentages of students in each NAEP mathematics achievement level. Achievement levels corresponding to each population of students are aligned at the point where the *Proficient* category begins, so that they may be compared at *Proficient* and above. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers. The shaded bars are graphed using unrounded numbers. Significance tests used a multiple-comparison procedure based on all jurisdictions that participated. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Mathematics Performance of Selected Student Groups

This section of the report presents trend results for public school students in Nevada and the nation by demographic characteristics. Student performance data are reported for

- race/ethnicity
- gender
- student eligibility for the National School Lunch Program
- type of school location (for 2007, 2009, and 2011)
- parents' highest level of education

Results for each of the variables are reported in tables that include the percentage of students in each group in the first column, and the average scale score in the second column. The columns to the right show the percentage of students below *Basic* and at or above each achievement level.

Results by students' race/ethnicity and gender include statements about score point differences between student groups (e.g., between White and Black or White and Hispanic students, or between male and female students) in 2011 and in the first assessment year. Because these differences are calculated using unrounded values, they may differ slightly from what would be obtained by subtracting the rounded values that appear in the tables. Statements indicating a narrowing or widening of the gap in students' scores are only made if the change in the gap from the first assessment year to 2011 was found to be statistically significant.

The reader is cautioned against making simple causal inferences about group differences, as a complex mix of educational and socioeconomic factors may affect student performance. NAEP collects information on many additional variables, including school and home factors related to achievement. This information is in an interactive database available on the NAEP website http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/naepdata/.

Race/Ethnicity

Prior to 2011, student race/ethnicity was obtained from school records and reported for the six mutually exclusive categories shown below:

- White
- Black
- Hispanic
- Asian/Pacific Islander
- American Indian/Alaska Native
- Unclassified (not shown in tables)

Students who identified with more than one of the other five categories were classified as "Other" and were included as part of the "Unclassified" category along with students who had a background other than the ones listed or whose race/ethnicity could not be determined.

In compliance with new standards from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget for collecting and reporting data on race/ethnicity, additional information was collected in 2011 so that results could be reported separately for Asian students, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students, and students identifying with two or more races. Beginning in 2011, all of the students participating in NAEP were identified as one of the seven racial/ethnic categories listed below:

- White
- Black or African American
- Hispanic
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Two or more races

As in earlier years, students identified as Hispanic were classified as Hispanic in 2011 even if they were also identified with another racial/ethnic group. Students who identified with two or more of the other racial/ethnic groups (e.g., White and Black) would have been classified as "Other" and reported as part of the "Unclassified" category prior to 2011, and classified as "Two or more races" in 2011.

When comparing the results for racial/ethnic groups from 2011 to earlier assessment years, the 2011 data for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students were combined into a single Asian/Pacific Islander category.

Tables 3-A and 3-B show average scale scores and percentage of students by achievement-level data for public school students at grades 4 and 8 in Nevada and the nation, by race/ethnicity.

Grade 4 Scale Score Results by Race/Ethnicity

- In 2011, White students in Nevada had an average scale score that was higher than the average scores of Black and Hispanic students, but not significantly different from the average score of Asian/Pacific Islander students.
- In 2011, the average scale scores of White and Hispanic students in Nevada were higher than their respective scores in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from their respective score in 2009.
- In 2011, the average scale scores of Black and Asian/Pacific Islander students in Nevada were higher than their respective scores in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In 2011, Black students in Nevada had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 21 points. This performance gap was narrower than that of 1996 (29 points).
- In 2011, Hispanic students in Nevada had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 18 points. In 1996, the average score for Hispanic students was lower than that of White students by 21 points.

Grade 4 Achievement-Level Results by Race/Ethnicity

- In 2011 in Nevada, the percentage of White students performing at or above *Proficient* was greater than the
 corresponding percentages of Black and Hispanic students, but smaller than the percentage of Asian/Pacific
 Islander students.
- In 2011, the percentage of Hispanic students in Nevada performing at or above *Proficient* was greater than the percentages of their respective peers in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the percentage in 2009.
- In 2011, the percentage of White students in Nevada performing at or above *Proficient* was greater than the
 percentages of their respective peers in 1996, 2000, 2003, and 2005, but not significantly different from the
 percentages of their respective peers in 2007 and 2009.
- In 2011, the percentages of Black and Asian/Pacific Islander students in Nevada performing at or above *Proficient* were greater than the percentages of their respective peers in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Table 3-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011

Race/ethnicity jurisdiction	, year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
White							
19961	Nation (public)	71 *	230*	27*	73*	25*	3*
	 Nevada	66*	224*	33*	67*	18*	1*
20001	Nation (public)	67*	234*	22*	78*	32*	3*
	 Nevada	60*	227*	30*	70*	21*	1*
2000	Nation (public)	62*	233*	24*	76*	30*	3*
	 Nevada	59*	226*	30*	70*	21*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	58*	243*	13*	87*	42*	5*
	Nevada	53*	236*	19*	81*	32*	2*
2005	Nation (public)	57*	246*	11*	89*	47*	7*
	Nevada	46*	240*	15*	85*	38*	4*
2007	Nation (public)	55*	248*	9	91	51	8*
	Nevada	43*	243*	13	87	43	5
2009	Nation (public)	54*	248*	10	90	50*	8
	Nevada	42*	245	10	90	46	5
2011	Nation (public)	52	249	9	91	52	9
	Nevada	36	247	11	89	48	8
Black							
19961	Nation (public)	17	199*	70*	30*	4*	#
	Nevada	9	195*	72*	28*	2*	#
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	17	204*	64*	36*	5*	#
	Nevada	10	207*	58*	42*	6*	#
2000	Nation (public)	17	203*	65*	35*	4*	
	Nevada	11	203*	61*	39*	4*	#
2003	Nation (public)	17*	216*	46*	54*	10*	#*
	Nevada	10	215*	48*	52*	10*	#
2005	Nation (public)	17*	220*	40*	60*	13*	1*
	Nevada	12	214*	48*	52*	10*	1
2007	Nation (public)	17	222*	37*	63*	15*	1
	Nevada	8	219*	42	58	16*	1
2009	Nation (public)	16	222*	37*	63*	15*	1
	Nevada	10	218*	43	57	12*	#
2011	Nation (public)	16	224	34	66	17	1
	Nevada	10	226	33	67	23	1

See notes at end of table.

Table 3-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011—Continued

Race/ethnicity	, year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Hispanic							
19961	Nation (public)	9*	204*	63*	37*	7*	#
	Nevada	16*	204*	63*	37*	6*	#
20001	Nation (public)	11*	209*	55*	45*	8*	#
	Nevada	21*	208*	57*	43*	7*	#
2000	Nation (public)	16*	207*	59*	41*	7*	#*
	Nevada	21 *	207*	60*	40*	7*	#
2003	Nation (public)	19*	221*	38*	62*	15*	1*
	Nevada	30*	216*	47*	53*	10*	#*
2005	Nation (public)	20*	225*	33*	67*	19*	1*
	Nevada	33*	219*	42*	58*	13*	1
2007	Nation (public)	21 *	227*	31*	69*	22*	1
	Nevada	40	221 *	39*	61*	18*	1
2009	Nation (public)	22*	227*	30	70	21*	1
	Nevada	39	227	30	70	19	1
2011	Nation (public)	24	229	28	72	24	2
	Nevada	42	229	29	71	24	1
Asian/Pacific	Islander						
19961	Nation (public)	3*	225*	35*	65*	20*	5*
	Nevada	4*	221 *	39*	61 *	17*	1
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	Nevada	7	225*	37*	63*	21*	3
2000	Nation (public)	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	Nevada	6	227*	31*	69*	22*	3*
2003	Nation (public)	4*	246*	13*	87*	48*	10*
	Nevada	5*	237*	18	82	34*	3
2005	Nation (public)	4*	251 *	11	89	54*	14*
	Nevada	8	243*	12	88	42*	6
2007	Nation (public)	5	254	9	91	59	16
	Nevada	7	242*	15	85	43*	4*
2009	Nation (public)	5	255	9	91	61	18
	Nevada	8	245*	12	88	45*	7
2011	Nation (public)	5	256	9	91	62	20
	Nevada	7	252	11	89	58	12

See notes at end of table.

Table 3-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011—Continued

Race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction		Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
American Indian/Alaska Native							
19961	Nation (public)	1*	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	Nevada	4	208	61	39	6	#
20001	Nation (public)	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	Nevada	2	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2000	Nation (public)	1	207*	61 *	39*	8*	#
	Nevada	2	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2003	Nation (public)	1	224	35	65	18*	1
	Nevada	2	215	45	55	10	#
2005	Nation (public)	1	227	31	69	22	2
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2007	Nation (public)	1	229*	28*	72*	26	3
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2009	Nation (public)	1	227	32	68	23	2
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2011	Nation (public)	1	227	32	68	24	2
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

Grade 8 Scale Score Results by Race/Ethnicity

- In 2011, White students in Nevada had an average scale score that was higher than the average scores of Black and Hispanic students, but not significantly different from the average score of Asian/Pacific Islander students.
- In 2011, the average scale scores of White and Hispanic students in Nevada were higher than their respective scores in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In 2011, the average scale score of Black students in Nevada was higher than their respective scores in 2000, 2003, and 2005, but not significantly different from their respective scores in 2007 and 2009.
- In 2011, the average scale score of Asian/Pacific Islander students in Nevada was higher than their respective score in 2000, but not significantly different from their respective scores in 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In 2011, Black students in Nevada had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 33 points. In 2000, the average score for Black students was lower than that of White students by 29 points.
- In 2011, Hispanic students in Nevada had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 26 points. In 2000, the average score for Hispanic students was lower than that of White students by 24 points.

Grade 8 Achievement-Level Results by Race/Ethnicity

- In 2011 in Nevada, the percentage of White students performing at or above *Proficient* was greater than the corresponding percentages of Black and Hispanic students, but not significantly different from the percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander students.
- In 2011, the percentages of White and Hispanic students in Nevada performing at or above *Proficient* were greater than the percentages of their respective peers in 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the percentage in 2009.
- In 2011, the percentages of Black and Asian/Pacific Islander students in Nevada performing at or above
 Proficient were greater than the percentage in 2000, but not significantly different from the percentages of
 their respective peers in 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Table 3-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

Race/ethnicity, jurisdiction	year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below <i>Basic</i>	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
White							
20001	Nation (public)	69*	284*	24*	76*	33*	6*
	Nevada	62*	276*	31*	69*	25*	3*
2000	Nation (public)	63*	283*	25*	75*	33*	6*
	Nevada	60*	273*	35*	65*	24*	3*
2003	Nation (public)	62*	287*	21*	79*	36*	7*
	Nevada	57*	278*	29*	71 *	27*	4*
2005	Nation (public)	60*	288*	21*	79*	37*	7*
	Nevada	55*	280*	27*	73*	29*	5*
2007	Nation (public)	58*	290*	19*	81*	41*	9*
	Nevada	47*	282*	27*	73*	32*	5
2009	Nation (public)	56*	292	18	82	43	10
	Nevada	44*	287*	22	78	36	8
2011	Nation (public)	54	293	17	83	43	10
	Nevada	39	292	17	83	43	10
Black							
20001	Nation (public)	14*	245*	70*	30*	5*	#*
	Nevada	8	250*	66*	34*	6	#
2000	Nation (public)	17	243*	70*	30*	5*	#*
	Nevada	9	244*	71*	29*	5*	#
2003	Nation (public)	17*	252*	61*	39*	7*	#*
	Nevada	9	248*	65	35	9	#
2005	Nation (public)	17*	254*	59*	41 *	8*	1*
	Nevada	10	247*	66*	34*	7	#
2007	Nation (public)	17*	259*	53*	47*	11*	1*
	Nevada	10	255	56	44	12	1
2009	Nation (public)	16	260	51	49	12	1
	Nevada	10	256	59	41	10	1
2011	Nation (public)	16	262	50	50	13	1
	Nevada	9	259	55	45	12	1

See notes at end of table.

Table 3-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011—Continued

Race/ethnicity, jurisdiction	year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below <i>Basic</i>	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Hispanic							
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	11 *	252*	60*	40*	8*	#*
	Nevada	21 *	250*	64*	36*	8*	1
2000	Nation (public)	14*	252*	60*	40*	8*	#*
	Nevada	21 *	249*	65*	35*	8*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	15*	258*	53*	47*	11*	1*
	Nevada	25*	250*	63*	37*	7*	1
2005	Nation (public)	17*	261 *	50*	50*	13*	1*
	Nevada	29*	256*	56*	44*	10*	1
2007	Nation (public)	19*	264*	46*	54*	15*	2*
	Nevada	34*	257*	56*	44*	11*	1
2009	Nation (public)	21 *	266*	44*	56*	17*	2
	Nevada	35*	262*	50	50	13	2
2011	Nation (public)	23	269	40	60	20	3
	Nevada	38	266	45	55	15	2
Asian/Pacific Is							
20001	Nation (public)	4*	286*	27*	73*	40*	12*
	Nevada	6*	278*	31	69	29	4
2000	Nation (public)	4*	287*	27*	73*	40*	12*
	Nevada	6*	273*	37	63	25*	3*
2003	Nation (public)	4*	289*	23*	77*	42*	12*
	Nevada	7	280	27	73	31	4*
2005	Nation (public)	5*	294*	19*	81*	46*	16*
	Nevada	6*	281	27	73	30	6
2007	Nation (public)	5*	296*	18*	82*	49*	17*
	Nevada	8	285	24	76	36	7
2009	Nation (public)	5	300	16	84	53	20
	Nevada	9	283	30	70	33	7
2011	Nation (public)	6	302	15	85	55	22
Soo notes at and o	Nevada	8	287	27	73	41	11

See notes at end of table.

Table 3-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011—Continued

Race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction		Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
American Indian/Alaska Native							
20001	Nation (public)	1	264	47	53	14	2
	Nevada	2*	‡	#	‡	‡	‡
2000	Nation (public)	1	263	47	53	13	3
	Nevada	3*	252	56	44	11	#
2003	Nation (public)	1*	265	46	54	16	2
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2005	Nation (public)	1*	266	45	55	14	2*
	Nevada	1	‡	#	‡	‡	‡
2007	Nation (public)	1*	265	44	56	17	2
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2009	Nation (public)	1	267	43	57	20	3
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2011	Nation (public)	1	266	45	55	17	4
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

Tables 4-A and 4-B show average scale scores and percentage of students by achievement-level data for the seven racial/ethnic categories used in 2011: White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaska Native, and Two or More Races at grades 4 and 8 in Nevada and the nation, by race/ethnicity.

Table 4-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: 2011

Race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction		Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above <i>Proficient</i>	At Advanced
White							
2011	Nation (public)	52*	249	9	91	52	9
	Nevada	36	247	11	89	48	8
Black							
2011	Nation (public)	16*	224	34	66	17*	1
	Nevada	10	226	33	67	23	1
Hispanic							
2011	Nation (public)	24*	229	28	72	24	2
	Nevada	42	229	29	71	24	1
Asian							
2011	Nation (public)	5*	257	8	92	64	21*
	Nevada	6	253	10	90	61	13
American Indian/Alas	ska Native						
2011	Nation (public)	1	227	32	68	24	2
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Otho	er Pacific		·			·	
2011	Nation (public)	#*	235	24	76	33	7
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or more races							·
2011	Nation (public)	2*	244	15	85	43	9
	Nevada	3	239	20	80	39	5

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same group in Nevada.

Table 4-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction: 2011

			Average		At or	At or	
Race/ethnicity, year, and jurisdiction		Percentage of students	scale score	Below Basic	above <i>Basic</i>	above Proficient	At Advanced
White							
2011	Nation (public)	54*	293	17	83	43	10
	Nevada	39	292	17	83	43	10
Black							
2011	Nation (public)	16*	262	50	50	13	1
	Nevada	9	259	55	45	12	1
Hispanic							
2011	Nation (public)	23*	269*	40*	60*	20*	3
	Nevada	38	266	45	55	15	2
Asian							
2011	Nation (public)	5*	305*	12*	88*	58*	24*
	Nevada	7	292	22	78	45	13
American Indian/Alas	ska Native						
2011	Nation (public)	1	266	45	55	17	4
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	#	‡
Native Hawaiian/Othe Islander	er Pacific						
2011	Nation (public)	#*	265	45	55	19	3
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or more races							
2011	Nation (public)	2*	286	24	76	37	10
	Nevada	4	282	27	73	36	9

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same group in Nevada.

Gender

Information on student gender is reported by the student's school when rosters of the students eligible to be assessed are submitted to NAEP.

Tables 5-A and 5-B show average scale scores and percentage of students by achievement-level data for public school students at grades 4 and 8 in Nevada and the nation, by gender.

Grade 4 Scale Score Results by Gender

- In 2011, male students in Nevada had an average score in mathematics (238) that was not significantly different from that of female students (236). In 1996, male students in Nevada had an average score in mathematics (220) that was not significantly different from that of female students (216).
- In 2011, male students in Nevada had an average scale score in mathematics (238) that was lower than that of male students in public schools across the nation (241). Similarly, female students in Nevada had an average scale score (236) that was lower than that of female students across the nation (239).
- In Nevada, the average scale score of male students in 2011 was higher than the scores of male students in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the score of male students in 2009.
- In Nevada, the average scale score of female students in 2011 was higher than the scores of female students in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the score of female students in 2009.

Grade 4 Achievement-Level Results by Gender

- In the 2011 assessment, 38 percent of male students and 33 percent of female students performed at or above *Proficient* in Nevada. The difference between these percentages was statistically significant.
- The percentage of male students in Nevada's public schools who were at or above *Proficient* in 2011 (38 percent) was not significantly different from that of male students in the nation (41 percent).
- The percentage of female students in Nevada's public schools who were at or above *Proficient* in 2011 (33 percent) was smaller than that of female students in the nation (39 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of male students performing at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the corresponding percentages of students in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the percentage of students in 2009.
- In Nevada, the percentage of female students performing at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the
 corresponding percentages of students in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different
 from the percentage of students in 2009.

Table 5-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by gender, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011

Gender, year, an	d jurisdiction	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Male							
19961	Nation (public)	51	224*	37*	63*	22*	3*
	Nevada	50	220*	41*	59*	16*	1*
20001	Nation (public)	51	227*	32*	68*	27*	3*
	Nevada	51	222*	37*	63*	19*	1*
2000	Nation (public)	51	225*	35*	65*	25*	3*
	Nevada	51	221*	39*	61*	18*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	51	235*	23*	77*	34*	5*
	Nevada	52	229*	30*	70*	25*	2*
2005	Nation (public)	51	238*	20*	80*	37*	6*
	Nevada	51	231*	28*	72*	28*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	51	240	18	82	41	7*
	Nevada	50	233*	26*	74*	33*	4
2009	Nation (public)	51	240*	19	81	40	7
	Nevada	50	236	21	79	34	4
2011	Nation (public)	51	241	18	82	41	7
	Nevada	51	238	20	80	38	5
Female							
19961	Nation (public)	49	221*	39*	61*	17*	1*
	Nevada	50	216*	45*	55*	12*	#*
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	49	225*	34*	66*	22*	2*
	Nevada	49	218*	41*	59*	13*	1*
2000	Nation (public)	49	223*	38*	62*	20*	1*
	Nevada	49	218*	41 *	59*	13*	#*
2003	Nation (public)	49	233*	25*	75*	29*	3*
	Nevada	48	226*	31*	69*	21*	1*
2005	Nation (public)	49	236*	21*	79*	33*	4*
	Nevada	49	229*	29*	71*	24*	3
2007	Nation (public)	49	238*	19	81	36*	4*
	Nevada	50	230*	27*	73*	27*	2*
2009	Nation (public)	49	238*	19	81	37*	5*
	Nevada	50	234	22	78	30	3
2011	Nation (public)	49	239	18	82	39	6
	Nevada	49	236	22	78	33	4

[#] Rounds to zero.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Grade 8 Scale Score Results by Gender

- In 2011, male students in Nevada had an average score in mathematics (279) that was not significantly different from that of female students (277). In 2000, male students in Nevada had an average score in mathematics (266) that was not significantly different from that of female students (264).
- In 2011, male students in Nevada had an average scale score in mathematics (279) that was lower than that of male students in public schools across the nation (283). Similarly, female students in Nevada had an average scale score (277) that was lower than that of female students across the nation (282).
- In Nevada, the average scale score of male students in 2011 was higher than the scores of male students in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In Nevada, the average scale score of female students in 2011 was higher than the scores of female students in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Grade 8 Achievement-Level Results by Gender

- In the 2011 assessment, 29 percent of male students and 28 percent of female students performed at or above *Proficient* in Nevada. The difference between these percentages was not statistically significant.
- The percentage of male students in Nevada's public schools who were at or above *Proficient* in 2011 (29 percent) was smaller than that of male students in the nation (34 percent).
- The percentage of female students in Nevada's public schools who were at or above *Proficient* in 2011 (28 percent) was smaller than that of female students in the nation (33 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of male students performing at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the corresponding percentages of students in 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the percentage of students in 2009.
- In Nevada, the percentage of female students performing at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the
 corresponding percentages of students in 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the
 percentage of students in 2009.

Table 5-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by gender, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

Gender, year, an	nd jurisdiction	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Male							
20001	Nation (public)	50	276*	34*	66*	29*	6*
	Nevada	49	269*	41*	59*	21*	3*
2000	Nation (public)	50	273*	38*	62*	26*	5*
	Nevada	50	266*	45*	55*	20*	3*
2003	Nation (public)	50	277*	33*	67*	29*	6*
	Nevada	49	268*	41*	59*	21*	3*
2005	Nation (public)	51	278*	32*	68*	30*	6*
	Nevada	51	270*	39*	61*	23*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	51	281*	29*	71*	33*	8*
	Nevada	51	271*	39*	61*	24*	4
2009	Nation (public)	51	283	28	72	34	8
	Nevada	50	275*	36	64	26	5
2011	Nation (public)	51	283	28	72	34	9
	Nevada	51	279	32	68	29	7
Female							
20001	Nation (public)	50	273*	36*	64*	24*	4*
	Nevada	51	267*	43*	57*	18*	2*
2000	Nation (public)	50	271*	38*	62*	23*	4*
	Nevada	50	264*	46*	54*	17*	2*
2003	Nation (public)	50	275*	34*	66*	26*	4*
	Nevada	51	268*	41 *	59*	19*	3*
2005	Nation (public)	49	277*	33*	67*	27*	5*
	Nevada	49	269*	40*	60*	20*	3
2007	Nation (public)	49	279*	30*	70*	29*	6*
	Nevada	49	270*	41*	59*	22*	3
2009	Nation (public)	49	281*	29*	71 *	31*	7
	Nevada	50	273*	38	62	24	4
2011	Nation (public)	49	282	28	72	33	7
	Nevada	49	277	34	66	28	5

^{*} Value is significantly different (*p* < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Student Eligibility for the National School Lunch Program

NAEP collects data on eligibility for the federal program providing free or reduced-price school lunches. The free/reduced-price lunch component of the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) offered through the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) is designed to ensure that children near or below the poverty line receive nourishing meals. Eligibility is determined through the USDA's Income Eligibility Guidelines, and data for this category of students are included as an indicator of lower family income. NAEP first collected information on participation in this program in 1996; therefore, cross-year comparisons to assessments prior to 1996 cannot be made.

Tables 6-A and 6-B show average scale scores and percentage of students by achievement-level data for public school students at grades 4 and 8 in Nevada and the nation, by student eligibility for the NSLP.

Grade 4 Scale Score Results by Free/Reduced-Price School Lunch Eligibility

- In 2011, students in Nevada eligible for free/reduced-price lunch had an average mathematics scale score of 229. This was lower than that of students in Nevada not eligible for this program (248).
- In 2011, students in Nevada who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that
 was lower than that of students who were not eligible by 19 points. In 1996, the average score for students in
 Nevada who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch was lower than the score of those not eligible by
 21 points.
- Students in Nevada eligible for free/reduced-price lunch had an average scale score (229) in 2011 that was not significantly different from that of students in the nation who were eligible (229).
- In Nevada, students eligible for free/reduced-price lunch had an average mathematics scale score in 2011 that was higher than that of eligible students in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Grade 4 Achievement-Level Results by Free/Reduced-Price School Lunch Eligibility

- In Nevada, 25 percent of students who were eligible for free/reduced-price lunch and 50 percent of those who
 were not eligible for this program performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011. These percentages were
 significantly different from one another.
- For students in Nevada in 2011 who were eligible for free/reduced-price lunch, the percentage at or above *Proficient* (25 percent) was not significantly different from the corresponding percentage for their counterparts around the nation (24 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of students eligible for free/reduced-price lunch who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the corresponding percentages in 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Table 6-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by National School Lunch Program eligibility status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011

					At or	At or	
Eligibility status	s, year, and	Percentage of	Average	Below	above	above	At
jurisdiction		students	scale score	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Eligible							
19961	Nation (public)	34*	207*	59*	41 *	8*	#*
	Nevada	15*	202*	65*	35*	4*	#
20001	Nation (public)	35*	210*	54*	46*	9*	#*
	Nevada	34*	208*	57*	43*	6*	#
2000	Nation (public)	40*	208*	57*	43*	7*	#*
	Nevada	36*	206*	59*	41*	6*	#
2003	Nation (public)	44*	222*	38*	62*	15*	1*
	Nevada	42*	216*	47*	53*	11*	#*
2005	Nation (public)	46*	225*	33*	67*	19*	1*
	Nevada	45*	219*	43*	57*	14*	1
2007	Nation (public)	46*	227*	30*	70*	22*	1*
	Nevada	45*	221*	39*	61 *	16*	1*
2009	Nation (public)	48*	228*	29*	71 *	22*	1*
	Nevada	41 *	226*	31	69	20*	1
2011	Nation (public)	52	229	27	73	24	2
	Nevada	57	229	29	71	25	2
Not eligible							
19961	Nation (public)	52	231 *	27*	73*	25*	3*
	Nevada	28*	223*	36*	64*	17*	1*
2000 ¹	Nation (public)	52	236*	21*	79*	33*	4*
	Nevada	60*	228*	29*	71 *	22*	1*
2000	Nation (public)	49	235*	23*	77*	32*	4*
	Nevada	58*	228*	28*	72*	22*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	52*	244*	12*	88*	45*	6*
	Nevada	52*	237*	18*	82*	33*	3*
2005	Nation (public)	52*	248*	10*	90*	50*	8*
	Nevada	54*	239*	17*	83*	36*	4*
2007	Nation (public)	53*	249*	9*	91*	53*	9*
	Nevada	51 *	242*	15*	85*	42*	5*
2009	Nation (public)	51 *	250*	9*	91*	54*	10*
	Nevada	58*	242*	14	86	41*	5*
2011	Nation (public)	47	252	8	92	57	12
	Nevada	43	248	10	90	50	8

See notes at end of table.

Table 6-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by National School Lunch Program eligibility status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 1996–2011—Continued

Eligibility status	s, year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Information not	available						
19961	Nation (public)	13*	230*	28*	72*	28*	3*
	Nevada	57*	219	41	59	15	1
20001	Nation (public)	13*	235*	23*	77*	35*	3*
	Nevada	6*	218	45	55	14	1
2000	Nation (public)	11 *	236*	22*	78*	35*	4*
	Nevada	7*	217	44	56	14	1
2003	Nation (public)	4*	235*	23*	77*	34*	4*
	Nevada	6*	230	26	74	22	1
2005	Nation (public)	2*	237*	21*	79*	36	5
	Nevada	1*	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2007	Nation (public)	1*	243	17	83	44	8
	Nevada	3	231	26	74	31	2
2009	Nation (public)	1	240	22	78	42	7
	Nevada	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2011	Nation (public)	#	247	12	88	49	10
	Nevada	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

Grade 8 Scale Score Results by Free/Reduced-Price School Lunch Eligibility

- In 2011, students in Nevada eligible for free/reduced-price lunch had an average mathematics scale score of 267. This was lower than that of students in Nevada not eligible for this program (288).
- In 2011, students in Nevada who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that was lower than that of students who were not eligible by 21 points. This performance gap was narrower than that of 2000 (26 points).
- Students in Nevada eligible for free/reduced-price lunch had an average scale score (267) in 2011 that was not significantly different from that of students in the nation who were eligible (269).
- In Nevada, students eligible for free/reduced-price lunch had an average mathematics scale score in 2011 that was higher than that of eligible students in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Grade 8 Achievement-Level Results by Free/Reduced-Price School Lunch Eligibility

- In Nevada, 18 percent of students who were eligible for free/reduced-price lunch and 38 percent of those who
 were not eligible for this program performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011. These percentages were
 significantly different from one another.
- For students in Nevada in 2011 who were eligible for free/reduced-price lunch, the percentage at or above *Proficient* (18 percent) was not significantly different from the corresponding percentage for their counterparts around the nation (19 percent).
- In Nevada, the percentage of students eligible for free/reduced-price lunch who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than the corresponding percentages in 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the percentage in 2009.

Table 6-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by National School Lunch Program eligibility status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

Eligibility status	s, year, and	Percentage of	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above	At or above	At Advanced
jurisdiction		students	scale score	Dasic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Eligible 20001	Nation (public)	28*	255*	56*	44*	10*	1*
20001	Nation (public) Nevada	26*	255 ° 248 *	65*	35*	6*	#
2000		31*	246 * 253 *	59*	41*	10*	1*
2000	Nation (public)	27*	253 ° 246 *	67*	33*	6*	#
2002	Nevada	36*	246 * 258 *	53*	47*	11*	
2003	Nation (public) Nevada	32*	256 * 254 *	57*	43*	10*	1
2005		39*	254 * 261 *	49*	51 *	13*	1*
2005	Nation (public) Nevada	32*	256*	56*	44*	10*	1*
2007	Nation (public)	41*	265*	45*	55 *	15*	2*
2007	Nevada	37*	259*	53*	47 <i>*</i>	13*	2
2009	Nation (public)	43*	266 *	43*	57*	17*	2*
2009	Nevada	35*	263*	49	51	14	2
2011	Nation (public)	48	269	41	59	19	2
2011	Nevada	47	267	44	56	18	2
Not eligible	Nevaua	41	201	44	30	10	_
20001	Nation (public)	55	285*	24*	76*	35*	7*
2000	Nevada	71*	275*	34*	66*	24*	3*
2000	Nation (public)	54	283*	26*	74*	34*	7*
2000	Nevada	69*	272*	37*	63*	23*	3*
2003	Nation (public)	58*	287*	22*	78*	37*	7*
2000	Nevada	64*	274*	33*	67*	25*	4*
2005	Nation (public)	59*	288*	21*	79*	39*	8*
2000	Nevada	65*	277*	32*	68*	27*	4*
2007	Nation (public)	58*	291 *	19*	81*	42*	10*
2001	Nevada	59*	279*	31*	69*	30*	5*
2009	Nation (public)	56*	293*	17*	83*	45*	12*
	Nevada	65*	280*	30*	70*	31*	6
2011	Nation (public)	52	295	16	84	47	13
	Nevada	53	288	23	77	38	9

See notes at end of table.

Table 6-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by National School Lunch Program eligibility status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011—Continued

Eligibility status	s, year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above <i>Proficient</i>	At Advanced
Information not available							
20001	Nation (public)	16*	273	37	63	26	4
	Nevada	3*	275	35	65	25	5
2000	Nation (public)	15*	271	38	62	24	4
	Nevada	4*	262	45	55	17	2
2003	Nation (public)	6*	278	32	68	29	6
	Nevada	4	274	37	63	30	3
2005	Nation (public)	3*	277	34	66	28	6
	Nevada	3	274	32	68	27	3
2007	Nation (public)	1*	274	36	64	28	6
	Nevada	4*	265	44	56	16	1
2009	Nation (public)	1*	284	28	72	35	10
	Nevada	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2011	Nation (public)	#	275	37	63	26	6
	Nevada	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

Type of Location

Schools that participated in the assessment were classified as being located in four mutually exclusive types of communities: city, suburb, town, and rural. These categories indicate the geographic locations of schools. "City" is a geographical term meaning the principal city of a U.S. Census Bureau-defined Core-Based Statistical Area and is not synonymous with "inner city." The criteria for classifying schools with respect to type of location changed for 2007; therefore, only comparisons between 2007, 2009, and 2011 are available. More detail on the changes for the classification of type of location is available at http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/Rural_Locales.asp.

Tables 7-A and 7-B show average scale scores and percentage of students by achievement-level data for public school students at grades 4 and 8 in Nevada and the nation, by type of location (for 2007, 2009, and 2011 only).

Grade 4 Scale Score Results by Type of Location

- In 2011, the average scale score of students in Nevada attending public schools in city locations was lower than the score of students in rural schools, but was not significantly different from the scores of students in suburban and town schools.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in suburban locations in Nevada had an average scale score that was lower than the average scale score of students in suburban locations in the nation.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in city, town, and rural locations in Nevada had average scale scores that were not significantly different from the average scale scores of students in city, town, and rural locations in the nation.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in city locations in Nevada had an average scale score that was
 higher than the average scale score of students in city locations in 2007 in Nevada, but not significantly
 different from the average scale score of students in city locations in 2009 in Nevada.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in suburban, town, and rural locations in Nevada had average scale scores that were not significantly different from the average scale scores of students in suburban, town, and rural locations in 2007 and 2009 in Nevada.

Grade 4 Achievement-Level Results by Type of Location

- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada's public schools in city locations who performed at or above Proficient was not significantly different from the corresponding percentages of students in suburban, town, and rural schools.
- The percentage of students in Nevada's public schools in suburban locations who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was smaller than those of students in suburban locations in the nation.
- The percentages of students in Nevada's public schools in city, town, and rural locations who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 were not significantly different from those of students in city, town, and rural locations in the nation.
- The percentages of students in Nevada's public schools in city, suburban, town, and rural locations who
 performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 were not significantly different from those of students in city,
 suburban, town, and rural locations in 2007 and 2009 in Nevada.

Table 7-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by type of location, year, and jurisdiction: 2007, 2009 and 2011

	_	_	Average		At or	At or	_
Type of location jurisdiction	, year, and	Percentage of students	scale score	Below Basic	above Basic	above Proficient	At Advanced
City							
2007	Nation (public)	29	233*	26	74	32	5
	Nevada	41	231*	27	73	29	2
2009	Nation (public)	30	234	25	75	32	5
	Nevada	40	235	21	79	32	3
2011	Nation (public)	29	235	24	76	33	5
	Nevada	38	237	22	78	36	4
Suburb							
2007	Nation (public)	37	243	15	85	44	7*
	Nevada	43	231	27	73	29	3
2009	Nation (public)	36	243	16	84	44	7*
	Nevada	39	233	25	75	29	3
2011	Nation (public)	36	244	15	85	45	8
	Nevada	36	235	23	77	33	4
Town							
2007	Nation (public)	12	238	18	82	36	4
	Nevada	6	233	26	74	34	3
2009	Nation (public)	12	237	19	81	35	4
	Nevada	5	234	22	78	29	4
2011	Nation (public)	13	237	19	81	35	4
	Nevada	12	236	21	79	32	5
Rural							
2007	Nation (public)	22	240*	16	84	39*	5*
	Nevada	10	238	20	80	39	5
2009	Nation (public)	22	240*	16	84	39*	5*
	Nevada	17	241	15	85	40	5
2011	Nation (public)	23	243	15	85	42	6
	Nevada	15	245	14	86	45	7

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2007, 2009 and 2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Grade 8 Scale Score Results by Type of Location

- In 2011, the average scale score of students in Nevada attending public schools in city locations was higher
 than the score of students in suburban schools, but was not significantly different from the scores of students
 in town and rural schools.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in city and town locations in Nevada had average scale scores that were not significantly different from the average scale scores of students in city and town locations in the nation.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in suburban and rural locations in Nevada had average scale scores that were lower than the average scale scores of students in suburban and rural locations in the nation.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in rural locations in Nevada had an average scale score that was not significantly different from the average scale score of students in rural locations in 2007 and 2009 in Nevada.
- In 2011, students attending public schools in city, suburban, and town locations in Nevada had average scale scores that were higher than the average scale scores of students in city, suburban, and town locations in 2007 and 2009 in Nevada.

Grade 8 Achievement-Level Results by Type of Location

- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada's public schools in city locations who performed at or above *Proficient* was not significantly different from the corresponding percentages of students in suburban, town, and rural schools.
- The percentages of students in Nevada's public schools in city and town locations who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 were not significantly different from those of students in city and town locations in the nation.
- The percentages of students in Nevada's public schools in suburban and rural locations who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 were smaller than those of students in suburban and rural locations in the nation.
- The percentage of students in Nevada's public schools in town locations who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 was greater than that of students in town locations in 2007 in Nevada, but not significantly different from that of students in town locations in 2009 in Nevada.
- The percentages of students in Nevada's public schools in suburban and rural locations who performed at or above *Proficient* in 2011 were not significantly different from those of students in suburban and rural locations in 2007 and 2009 in Nevada.
- The percentage of students in Nevada's public schools in city locations who performed at or above Proficient
 in 2011 was greater than that of students in city locations in 2007 and 2009 in Nevada.

Table 7-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by type of location, year, and jurisdiction: 2007, 2009 and 2011

Type of location jurisdiction	n, year, and	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
City							
2007	Nation (public)	28	273*	38*	62*	25*	5*
	Nevada	46*	269*	42*	58*	22*	3
2009	Nation (public)	27	276	36	64	28	6
	Nevada	40	275*	36	64	25*	5
2011	Nation (public)	29	277	34	66	29	7
	Nevada	35	279	33	67	31	6
Suburb							
2007	Nation (public)	36	285*	26	74	36	9*
	Nevada	27	268*	44	56	21	4
2009	Nation (public)	36	286	25	75	37	10
	Nevada	31	269*	42	58	21	3
2011	Nation (public)	36	286	25	75	37	9
	Nevada	30	274	38	62	25	6
Town							
2007	Nation (public)	13	280	29	71	29*	5*
	Nevada	10	273*	36	64	21*	3
2009	Nation (public)	14	279	30	70	29	5
	Nevada	11	275*	34	66	24	3
2011	Nation (public)	13	281	28	72	31	6
	Nevada	11	284	26	74	33	8
Rural							
2007	Nation (public)	22	282*	26*	74*	32*	6*
	Nevada	18	278	30	70	28	4
2009	Nation (public)	23	284	25	75	33	7
	Nevada	18	280	32	68	31	7
2011	Nation (public)	23	286	23	77	35	7
	Nevada	23	279	29	71	28	5

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2007, 2009 and 2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Parents' Highest Level of Education

Eighth-grade students who participated in the NAEP 2011 assessment were asked to indicate the highest level of education they thought their father and their mother had completed. Five response options—did not finish high school, graduated from high school, some education after high school, graduated from college, and "I don't know"—were offered. The highest level of education reported for either parent was used in the analysis. Fourth-graders were not asked about their parents' education level because their responses in previous NAEP assessments were not reliable, and a large percentage of them chose the "I don't know" option.

The results by highest level of parental education are shown in table 8.

Grade 8 Scale Score Results by Parents' Highest Level of Education

- In 2011, students in Nevada who reported that a parent had graduated from college had an average scale score that was higher than the average scores of students with a parent in any of the following education categories: some education after high school, graduated from high school, and did not finish high school.
- In 2011, the average scale score for students in Nevada who reported that a parent had graduated from college was lower than the score of students in the nation.
- In 2011, the average scale scores for students in Nevada who reported that a parent had some education after high school, had graduated from high school, or had not finished high school were not significantly different from the corresponding scores of students in the nation.
- In 2011, the average scale score for students in Nevada who reported that a parent had graduated from college was higher than the score of students in 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007, but not significantly different from the score of students in 2009.
- In 2011, the average scale scores for students in Nevada who reported that a parent had some education
 after high school or had graduated from high school were higher than the corresponding scores of students in
 2000, 2003, and 2005, but not significantly different from the corresponding scores of students in 2007 and
 2009.
- In 2011, the average scale score for students in Nevada who reported that a parent had not finished high school was higher than the score of students in 2000, 2003, and 2007, but not significantly different from the score of students in 2005 and 2009.

Grade 8 Achievement-Level Results by Parents' Highest Level of Education

- In 2011, the percentage of students performing at or above *Proficient* in Nevada who reported that a parent
 had graduated from college was greater than the percentage for students whose parents' highest level of
 education was in any of the following education categories: some education after high school, graduated from
 high school, and did not finish high school.
- In 2011, the percentage of students in Nevada reporting that a parent had graduated from college and who
 performed at or above *Proficient* was smaller than the percentage of students in the nation.
- In 2011, the percentages of students in Nevada reporting that a parent had some education after high school, had graduated from high school, or had not finished high school and who performed at or above *Proficient* were not significantly different from the corresponding percentages of students in the nation.
- In 2011 in Nevada, the percentage of students reporting that a parent had graduated from college and who performed at or above *Proficient* was greater than the percentage of students in 2000, 2003, and 2005, but was not significantly different from the percentage of students in 2007 and 2009.
- In 2011 in Nevada, the percentage of students reporting that a parent had some education after high school and who performed at or above *Proficient* was greater than the percentage of students in 2000 and 2003, but was not significantly different from the percentage of students in 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In 2011 in Nevada, the percentage of students reporting that a parent had graduated from high school and who performed at or above *Proficient* was greater than the percentage of students in 2000, but was not significantly different from the percentage of students in 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.
- In 2011 in Nevada, the percentage of students reporting that a parent had not finished high school and who performed at or above *Proficient* was not significantly different from the percentage of students in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2009.

Table 8

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by highest parental education level, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

Highest paren year, and juris	tal education level,	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below <i>Basic</i>	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Did not finish	high school						
20001	Nation (public)	7*	255*	55*	45*	8*	1*
	Nevada	9*	248*	63*	37*	4*	1
2000	Nation (public)	8	253*	57*	43*	7*	#*
	Nevada	9*	248*	63*	37*	6	1
2003	Nation (public)	7*	256*	56*	44*	9*	1*
	Nevada	10	249*	64*	36*	8	#
2005	Nation (public)	8*	259*	52*	48*	11*	1*
	Nevada	11	257	54	46	10	#
2007	Nation (public)	8	263*	48*	52*	12*	1
	Nevada	13	254*	62*	38*	7	1
2009	Nation (public)	8	265	45	55	14	1
	Nevada	13	260	53	47	12	1
2011	Nation (public)	8	265	44	56	15	2
	Nevada	12	262	50	50	12	2
Graduated fro	m high school						
20001	Nation (public)	21 *	263*	47*	53*	16*	1
	Nevada	21	261 *	52*	48*	12*	1
2000	Nation (public)	21 *	260*	49*	51 *	15*	1*
	Nevada	21	257*	55*	45*	11*	1
2003	Nation (public)	18*	267*	42*	58*	16*	2*
	Nevada	20	263*	46	54	14	1
2005	Nation (public)	18*	267*	42*	58*	17*	2*
	Nevada	19	261 *	50	50	14	1
2007	Nation (public)	18*	270	40	60	19	2
	Nevada	20	267	44	56	18	2
2009	Nation (public)	17	270	38	62	19	2
	Nevada	20	266	45	55	16	2
2011	Nation (public)	17	271	38	62	20	2
Con motor of and	Nevada	20	269	42	58	19	3

See notes at end of table.

Table 8

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by highest parental education level, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011—Continued

Highest paren	tal education level,	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below <i>Basic</i>	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Some education	on after high school						
20001	Nation (public)	18*	279*	28*	72*	27*	3*
	Nevada	19	276*	32*	68*	23*	2
2000	Nation (public)	18*	277*	30*	70*	26*	3*
	Nevada	19	273*	35*	65*	23*	2
2003	Nation (public)	18*	280*	27*	73*	28*	4*
	Nevada	19	277*	30	70	24*	4
2005	Nation (public)	18*	280*	27*	73*	28*	4*
	Nevada	20	277*	29	71	25	3
2007	Nation (public)	17*	283*	24*	76*	32	5
	Nevada	18	281	27	73	30	4
2009	Nation (public)	17	283	24	76	32	5
	Nevada	18	280	28	72	28	4
2011	Nation (public)	16	285	22	78	33	5
	Nevada	18	285	24	76	33	5
Graduated from							
20001	Nation (public)	43*	286*	24*	76*	39*	9*
	Nevada	36	280*	28*	72*	30*	4*
2000	Nation (public)	41 *	285*	25*	75*	38*	9*
	Nevada	35	279*	31*	69*	29*	4*
2003	Nation (public)	45*	287*	23*	77*	39*	8*
	Nevada	39	279*	29*	71*	30*	5*
2005	Nation (public)	45*	289*	22*	78*	41 *	10*
	Nevada	35	281 *	29*	71*	32*	6
2007	Nation (public)	46*	291 *	20*	* 08	43*	11*
	Nevada	35	283*	27	73	34	6
2009	Nation (public)	46	294	18	82	46	13
	Nevada	35	287	24	76	38	10
2011	Nation (public)	47	294	18	82	46	13
See notes at and a	Nevada	36	290	22	78	42	10

See notes at end of table.

Table 8

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by highest parental education level, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011—Continued

Highest parenta year, and jurisdi	l education level, ction	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
Unknown							
20001	Nation (public)	11	255*	55*	45*	11*	1*
	Nevada	15	252*	60*	40*	9*	1
2000	Nation (public)	12	253*	59*	41 *	9*	1*
	Nevada	16	248*	64*	36*	8*	#*
2003	Nation (public)	11	258*	53*	47*	12*	1*
	Nevada	13	248*	65*	35*	7*	#
2005	Nation (public)	11 *	260*	51*	49*	13*	1*
	Nevada	15	257*	53	47	11	1
2007	Nation (public)	12	263*	48*	52*	15*	2
	Nevada	15	255*	59*	41 *	11	2
2009	Nation (public)	12	264	47	53	16	2
	Nevada	14	261	51	49	12	1
2011	Nation (public)	12	265	46	54	16	2
	Nevada	14	266	46	54	16	4

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

A More Inclusive NAEP: Students With Disabilities and English Language Learners

To ensure that the samples are representative, NAEP has established policies and procedures to maximize the inclusion of all students in the assessment. Every effort is made to ensure that all selected students who are capable of participating meaningfully in the assessment are assessed. While some students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) can be assessed without any special procedures, others require accommodations to participate in NAEP. Still other SD and/or ELL students selected by NAEP may not be able to participate. Local school staff who are familiar with these students are asked a series of questions to help them decide whether each student should participate in the assessment and whether the student needs accommodations.

Within any assessment year, exclusion and accommodation rates may vary across jurisdictions. In addition, exclusion and accommodation rates may increase or decrease between assessment administrations, making it difficult to interpret comparisons over time within jurisdictions. Since SD and/or ELL students tend to score below average on assessments, the exclusion of students from these groups may result in a higher average score than if those students had taken the assessment. On the other hand, providing appropriate testing accommodations (e.g., providing extended time for some SD and/or ELL students to take the assessment) removes barriers that would otherwise prevent them from demonstrating their knowledge and skills.

Prior to 2000, testing accommodations were not provided for students with special needs in NAEP state mathematics assessments. For 2000, results are displayed for both the sample in which accommodations were permitted and the sample in which they were not permitted. Subsequent assessment results were based on the more inclusive samples.

Tables 9-A and 9-B display data for 4th and 8th grade students in Nevada who were identified as SD and/or ELL, by whether they were excluded, assessed with accommodations, or assessed under standard conditions, as a percent of all 4th or 8th grade students in the state.

Tables 10-A and 10-B show the percentages of students assessed in Nevada by disability status and their performance on the NAEP assessment in terms of average scores and percentages performing below *Basic*, at or above *Proficient*, and at *Advanced* for grades 4 and 8.

Tables 11-A and 11-B present the percentages of students assessed in Nevada by ELL status, their average scores, and their performance in terms of the percentages below *Basic*, at or above *Basic*, at or above *Proficient*, and at *Advanced* for grades 4 and 8.

Tables 12-A and 12-B present the total number of grades 4 and 8 students assessed in each of the participating states and the percentage of students sampled who were excluded.

Table 9-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics as a percentage of all students, by assessment year and testing status: Various years, 1996–2011

		SD and	d/or ELL	5	SD	Е	LL
			Nation		Nation		Nation
Year and tes	ting status	Nevada	(public)	Nevada	(public)	Nevada	(public)
1996 ¹	Identified	16	16	9	12	8	4
	Excluded	9	6	5	5	4	2
	Assessed without accommodations	8	9	4	7	4	2
2000	Identified	20	19	10	13	11	7
	Excluded	7	4	3	3	4	1
	Assessed without accommodations	8	10	3	5	6	5
	Assessed with accommodations	5	5	4	4	1	1
2003	Identified	26	22	13	14	17	11
	Excluded	4	4	3	3	2	1
	Assessed without accommodations	14	10	5	4	11	7
	Assessed with accommodations	8	8	5	7	4	2
2005	Identified	26	23	12	14	17	10
	Excluded	3	3	3	3	1	1
	Assessed without accommodations	13	10	3	4	10	7
	Assessed with accommodations	10	10	6	8	5	3
2007	Identified	32	23	13	14	22	11
	Excluded	3	3	2	3	2	1
	Assessed without accommodations	16	10	5	3	11	7
	Assessed with accommodations	13	10	6	8	9	3
2009	Identified	30	23	12	13	20	10
	Excluded	3	2	2	2	1	1
	Assessed without accommodations	11	9	3	3	8	6
	Assessed with accommodations	17	11	6	8	12	4
2011	Identified	35	23	11	13	27	11
	Excluded	2	2	2	2	#	#
	Assessed without accommodations	11	9	3	3	8	6
	Assessed with accommodations	22	12	6	9	18	4

[#] Rounds to zero.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment year.

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table 9-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics as a percentage of all students, by assessment year and testing status: Various years, 1996–2011

		SD and/or ELL		5	SD	ELL	
			Nation		Nation		Nation
Year and	testing status	Nevada	(public)	Nevada	(public)	Nevada	(public)
1996 ¹	Identified	16	11	9	9	7	3
	Excluded	8	5	5	4	3	1
	Assessed without accommodations	8	7	4	5	4	2
2000	Identified	16	14	12	11	5	4
	Excluded	4	4	3	3	1	1
	Assessed without accommodations	8	7	5	5	3	3
	Assessed with accommodations	5	3	4	2	#	1
2003	Identified	18	19	12	14	7	6
	Excluded	2	4	2	3	1	1
	Assessed without accommodations	9	8	5	5	5	4
	Assessed with accommodations	6	7	5	6	2	1
2005	Identified	19	19	11	13	9	6
	Excluded	2	4	2	3	1	1
	Assessed without accommodations	10	7	4	3	6	4
	Assessed with accommodations	7	8	5	7	2	1
2007	Identified	20	18	12	13	11	7
	Excluded	4	4	3	4	1	1
	Assessed without accommodations	9	6	4	2	6	4
	Assessed with accommodations	8	8	5	6	4	2
2009	Identified	17	18	11	13	8	6
	Excluded	2	3	2	3	#	#
	Assessed without accommodations	6	5	2	2	4	3
	Assessed with accommodations	9	10	6	8	4	2
2011	Identified	18	18	10	13	10	6
	Excluded	3	3	3	2	1	#
	Assessed without accommodations	6	5	2	2	5	3
	Assessed with accommodations	9	10	5	9	4	2

[#] Rounds to zero.

¹ Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment year.

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table 10-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by students with disabilities (SD) status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

SD status, year	, and jurisdiction	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above <i>Basic</i>	At or above <i>Proficient</i>	At Advanced
SD							
2000	Nation (public)	10*	198*	71 *	29*	6*	1
	Nevada	7*	197*	67*	33*	5*	#
2003	Nation (public)	11*	214*	50*	50*	12*	1*
	Nevada	11*	206*	60*	40*	9*	1
2005	Nation (public)	12	218	44	56	16	2
	Nevada	10	212	52	48	13*	1
2007	Nation (public)	11*	220*	40*	60*	19*	2
	Nevada	11*	221	45	55	26	4
2009	Nation (public)	12	220*	41 *	59*	19*	2
	Nevada	10	218	43	57	16	1
2011	Nation (public)	12	218	45	55	17	2
	Nevada	9	217	47	53	21	3
Not SD							
2000	Nation (public)	90*	227*	33*	67*	24*	3*
	Nevada	93*	221 *	38*	62*	17*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	89*	236*	21 *	79*	34*	4*
	Nevada	89*	230*	27*	73*	25*	2*
2005	Nation (public)	88	240*	17*	83*	38*	5*
	Nevada	90	232*	26*	74*	28*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	89*	241*	16*	84*	41 *	6*
	Nevada	89*	233*	24*	76*	31 *	3*
2009	Nation (public)	88	242*	16*	84*	41 *	6*
	Nevada	90	237	19	81	34	3
2011	Nation (public)	88	243	15	85	43	7
	Nevada	91	239	18	82	37	5

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities in the NAEP samples and by differences in sample sizes. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

Table 10-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by students with disabilities (SD) status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

			Average		At or	At or	
		Percentage	scale	Below	above	above	At
	, and jurisdiction	of students	score	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
SD							
2000	Nation (public)	8*	229*	80*	20*	4*	#*
	Nevada	9*	219*	86*	14*	2	#
2003	Nation (public)	11 *	242*	71 *	29*	6*	1*
	Nevada	11 *	233*	78	22	4	#
2005	Nation (public)	11	244*	69*	31*	7*	1*
	Nevada	9*	233*	80	20	5	#
2007	Nation (public)	9*	246*	67	33	8	1
	Nevada	9*	240	72	28	9	2
2009	Nation (public)	10	249	64	36	9	1
	Nevada	9*	242	71	29	9	1
2011	Nation (public)	11	249	65	35	9	2
	Nevada	7	242	73	27	6	#
Not SD							
2000	Nation (public)	92*	275*	35 *	65*	26*	5*
	Nevada	91*	270*	41 *	59*	20*	2*
2003	Nation (public)	89*	280*	29*	71 *	30*	5*
	Nevada	89*	272*	37*	63*	22*	3*
2005	Nation (public)	89	281 *	28*	72*	31 *	6*
	Nevada	91*	274*	35*	65*	23*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	91*	284*	26*	74*	33*	7*
	Nevada	91*	274*	37*	63*	24*	4*
2009	Nation (public)	90	285*	24*	76*	35*	8
	Nevada	91*	277*	34	66	26*	5
2011	Nation (public)	89	287	23	77	36	9
	Nevada	93	281	30	70	30	6

[#] Rounds to zero.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities in the NAEP samples and by differences in sample sizes. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table 11-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of fourth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by English language learner (ELL) status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

			Average		At or	At or	
		Percentage	scale	Below	above	above	At
ELL status, yea	ar, and jurisdiction	of students	score	Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
ELL							
2000	Nation (public)	6*	199*	70*	30*	4*	#
	Nevada	7*	194*	78*	22*	1	#
2003	Nation (public)	9*	214*	51 *	49*	9*	#*
	Nevada	15*	208*	61 *	39*	6*	1
2005	Nation (public)	10*	216*	46*	54*	11*	1
	Nevada	16*	209*	59*	41*	7*	1
2007	Nation (public)	10*	217*	44*	56*	13	1
	Nevada	21 *	209*	55*	45*	7*	#
2009	Nation (public)	10*	218	43	57	12*	1*
	Nevada	20*	220*	39	61	12*	1
2011	Nation (public)	11	219	42	58	14	1
	Nevada	27	224	34	66	18	1
Not ELL							
2000	Nation (public)	94*	226*	34*	66*	24*	3*
	Nevada	93*	222*	37*	63*	17*	1*
2003	Nation (public)	91 *	236*	21*	79*	34*	4*
	Nevada	85*	231 *	25*	75*	26*	2*
2005	Nation (public)	90*	239*	18*	82*	38*	5*
	Nevada	84*	234*	23*	77 *	30*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	90*	242*	16*	84*	42*	6*
	Nevada	79*	238*	18	82	36*	3*
2009	Nation (public)	90*	242*	16*	84*	41 *	6*
	Nevada	80*	239*	17	83	37*	4*
2011	Nation (public)	89	243	15	85	43	7
	Nevada	73	242	16	84	42	6

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214—248; *Proficient*, 249—281; and *Advanced*, 282 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for English language learners in the NAEP samples and by differences in sample sizes. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

Table 11-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Percentage of eighth-grade public school students, average scale score, and percentage at or above achievement levels in NAEP mathematics, by English language learner (ELL) status, year, and jurisdiction: Various years, 2000–2011

ELL status, yea	ar, and jurisdiction	Percentage of students	Average scale score	Below Basic	At or above Basic	At or above Proficient	At Advanced
ELL	•						
2000	Nation (public)	3*	234*	80*	20*	2*	#
	Nevada	4*	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
2003	Nation (public)	5	241	74	26	5	1
	Nevada	7*	234*	78	22	3	#
2005	Nation (public)	6	244	71	29	6	1
	Nevada	9	236	79	21	4	#
2007	Nation (public)	6	245	70	30	6	1
	Nevada	10	238	77	23	5	#
2009	Nation (public)	6	243	72	28	5	1
	Nevada	8*	234*	84	16	2	#
2011	Nation (public)	6	244	72	28	5	1
	Nevada	10	241	77	23	4	#
Not ELL							
2000	Nation (public)	97*	273*	37*	63*	26*	5*
	Nevada	96*	266*	44*	56*	19*	2*
2003	Nation (public)	95	278*	31 *	69*	29*	5*
	Nevada	93*	270*	38*	62*	21*	3*
2005	Nation (public)	94	280*	30*	70*	30*	6*
	Nevada	91	273*	36*	64*	23*	3*
2007	Nation (public)	94	282*	27*	73*	33*	7*
	Nevada	90	274*	36*	64*	25*	4*
2009	Nation (public)	94	284*	26*	74*	34*	8
	Nevada	92*	278*	33*	67*	27*	5
2011	Nation (public)	94	285	25	75	35	8
	Nevada	90	282	28	72	31	7

[#] Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500. Achievement levels correspond to the following points on the NAEP mathematics scales: below *Basic*, 261 or lower; *Basic*, 262—298; *Proficient*, 299—332; and *Advanced*, 333 and above. At or above *Basic* includes *Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*. At or above *Proficient* includes *Proficient* and *Advanced*. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for English language learners in the NAEP samples and by differences in sample sizes. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. All differences were calculated and tested using unrounded numbers.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000–2011 Mathematics Assessments.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.

^{*} Value is significantly different (p < .05) from the value for the same jurisdiction and student group in 2011.

Table 12-A

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Number of fourth-grade public school students assessed in NAEP mathematics and weighted percentage excluded, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

State/jurisdiction	Number assessed	Weighted percentage excluded
Nation (public)	198,900	2
Alabama	3,000	1
Alaska	2,700	3
Arizona	3,700	1
Arkansas	3,500	1
California	9,100	2
Colorado	3,500	1
Connecticut	3,000	1
Delaware	3,400	4
Florida	7,100	2
Georgia	5,300	2
Hawaii	3,300	2
Idaho	3,600	1
Illinois	5,000	2
Indiana	3,400	2
Iowa	3,300	1
Kansas	3,100	2
Kentucky	4,800	3
Louisiana	3,300	2
Maine	3,200	2
Maryland	4,400	6
Massachusetts	5,000	3
Michigan	4,100	2
Minnesota	3,600	
Mississippi	3,000	1
Missouri	3,400	2
Montana	3,100	2
Nebraska	3,100	2
Nevada	3,800	2
New Hampshire	3,300	2
New Jersey	3,300	3
New Mexico	4,100	3
New York	4,700	1
North Carolina	5,300	2
North Dakota	3,000	4
Ohio	4,200	2
Oklahoma	2,900	8
Oregon	3,600	3
Pennsylvania	4,600	1
Rhode Island	3,200	1
South Carolina	3,300	1
South Dakota	3,200	2
Tennessee	3,400	3
Texas	9,600	4
Utah	4,000	2
Vermont	2,700	2
Virginia	3,600	2
Washington	3,900	2
West Virginia	3,000	2
Wisconsin	4,500	2
Wyoming	2,900	2
	2,300	2
Other jurisdictions		
Other jurisdictions District of Columbia	2,000	5

¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (domestic and overseas schools).

NOTE: The number of students assessed is rounded to the nearest hundred.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table 12-B

The Nation's Report Card 2011 State Assessment

Number of eighth-grade public school students assessed in NAEP mathematics and weighted percentage excluded, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

State/jurisdiction	Number assessed	Weighted percentage excluded
Nation (public)	164,400	3
Alabama	2,800	1
Alaska	2,400	3
Arizona	2,800	1
Arkansas	2,800	1
California	7,300	1
Colorado	2,800	1
Connecticut	2,800	1
Delaware	2,800	3
Florida	6,200	2
Georgia	4,100	3
Hawaii	2,900	2
Idaho	3,000	1
Illinois		2
Indiana	4,200 2,700	3
lowa	2,700	1
Kansas	2,800	1
Kentucky	3,900	3
Louisiana	2,600	1
Maine	2,700	2
Maryland	3,500	6
Massachusetts	3,800	4
Michigan	4,000	4
Minnesota	3,000	2
Mississippi	2,700	1
Missouri	2,600	1
Montana	2,600	2
Nebraska	2,600	4
Nevada	2,800	3
New Hampshire	2,700	2
New Jersey	2,600	4
New Mexico	3,400	2
New York	4,200	_ 1
North Carolina	4,400	2
North Dakota	2,300	4
Ohio	3,500	5
Oklahoma	2,400	10
Oregon	2,900	1
		2
Pennsylvania	3,800	
Rhode Island	2,700	1
South Carolina	2,700	4
South Dakota	3,100	2
Tennessee	2,800	4
Texas	7,500	5
Utah	2,900	3
Vermont	2,100	1
Virginia	2,700	3
Washington	3,200	2
West Virginia	2,800	2
Wisconsin	3,600	2
Wyoming	2,100	1
Other jurisdictions		
District of Columbia	2,400	4
DoDEA ¹	1,700	3

¹ Department of Defense Education Activity (domestic and overseas schools).

NOTE: The number of students assessed is rounded to the nearest hundred.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Where to Find More Information

The NAEP Mathematics Assessment

The latest news about the NAEP 2011 mathematics assessment and the results can be found on the NAEP website at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/mathematics. The individual snapshot reports for each participating state and other jurisdictions are also available in the state results section of the website at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/.

The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2011 may be ordered or downloaded at the NAEP website.

The *Mathematics Framework for the 2011 National Assessment of Educational Progress*, on which this assessment is based, is available at the National Assessment Governing Board website at http://www.nagb.org/publications/math-2011-framework.pdf.

The NAEP Data Explorer (NDE)

The interactive database at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/naepdata/ includes student, teacher, and school variables for all participating districts, the nation, and public schools in large cities. Data tables are also available for districts, with all contextual questions cross-tabulated with the major demographic variables. Users can design and create tables and can perform tests of statistical significance at this website.

Technical Documentation on the Web (TDW)

Technical documentation section of the NAEP website http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tdw/ contains information about the technical procedures and methods of NAEP. The TDW site is organized by topic (from Item Development through Analysis and Scaling) with subtopics, including information specific to a particular assessment. The content is written for researchers and assumes knowledge of educational measurement and testing.

Publications on the inclusion of students with disabilities and English language learners

References for a variety of research publications related to the assessment of students with special needs may be found at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/inclusion.asp#research.

To order publications

Recent NAEP publications related to mathematics are listed on the mathematics page of the NAEP website and are available electronically. Publications can also be ordered from

Education Publications Center (ED Pubs) U.S. Department of Education P.O. Box 22207 Alexandria, VA 22304

Call toll free: 1-877-4ED-Pubs (1-877-433-7827)

TTY/TDD: 1-877-576-7734 FAX: 1-301-470-1244

Order online at: http://www.edpubs.gov.

The NAEP State Report Generator was developed for the NAEP 2011 reports by Phillip Leung, Bobby Rampey, Rebecca Moran, Rick Hasney, and Ming Kuang.

What is the Nation's Report Card™?

The Nation's Report Card™ informs the public about the academic achievement of elementary and secondary students in the United States. Report cards communicate the findings of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), a continuing and nationally representative measure of achievement in various subjects over

Since 1969, NAEP assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and other subjects. NAEP collects and reports information on student performance at the national, state, and local levels, making the assessment an integral part of our nation's evaluation of the condition and progress of education. Only academic achievement data and related background information are collected. The privacy of individual students and their families is protected.

NAEP is a congressionally authorized project of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) within the Institute of Education Sciences of the U.S. Department of Education. The Commissioner of Education Statistics is responsible for carrying out the NAEP project. The National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.

U.S. Department of Education

Arne Duncan

Secretary U.S. Department of Education

John Q. Easton

Director Institute of

Education Sciences

Jack Buckley

Commissioner National Center for **Education Statistics** **Peggy Carr**

Associate Commissioner for Assessment National Center for Education Statistics

The National Assessment Governing Board

Honorable David P. Driscoll, Chair

Former Commissioner of Education Melrose, Massachusetts

Mary Frances Taymans. Vice Chair

Sisters of Notre Dame National Education Office Bethesda, Maryland

Andrés Alonso

Chief Executive Officer Baltimore City Public Schools Baltimore, Maryland

David J. Alukonis

Former Chairman **Hudson School Board** Hudson, New Hampshire

Louis M. Fabrizio

Data, Research and Federal Policy Director

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction

Raleigh, North Carolina

Honorable Anitere Flores

Senator

Florida State Senate Miami, Florida

Alan J. Friedman

Consultant

Museum Development and Science Communication New York, New York

Shannon Garrison

Fourth-Grade Teacher Solano Avenue Elementary School Los Angeles, California

Doris R. Hicks

Principal and Chief Executive Officer Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Charter School for Science and Technology New Orleans, Louisiana

Honorable Terry Holliday

Commissioner of Education Kentucky Department of Education Lexington, Kentucky

Richard Brent Houston

Principal

Shawnee Middle School Shawnee, Oklahoma

Middle School Science Teacher Belin-Blank International Center and **Talent Development** Iowa City, Iowa

Honorable Tom Luna

Idaho Superintendent of Public Instruction Boise, Idaho

Honorable Jack Markell

Governor of Delaware Wilmington, Delaware

Tonva Miles

General Public Representative Mitchellville, Maryland

Dale Nowlin

Twelfth-Grade Teacher Columbus North High School Columbus, Indiana

Honorable Sonny Perdue

Governor of Georgia Atlanta, Georgia

Susan Pimentel

Educational Consultant Hanover, New Hampshire W. James Popham

Professor Emeritus Graduate School of Education and Information Studies University of California, Los Angeles Wilsonville, Oregon

Andrew C. Porter

Dean

Graduate School of Education University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

B. Fielding Rolston

Chairman

Tennessee Board of Education Kingsport, Tennessee

Carv Sneider

Associate Reasearch Professor Portland State University Portland, Oregon

Blair Taylor

President and CEO Los Angeles Urban League Los Angeles, California

Honorable Leticia Van de Putte

Senator

Texas State Senate San Antonio, Texas

Eileen L. Weiser

General Public Representative Ann Arbor, Michigan

John Q. Easton (Ex officio)

Director

Institute of Education Sciences U.S. Department of Education Washington, D.C.

Cornelia S. Orr

Executive Director National Assessment Governing Board Washington, D.C.

Page 65	of 65	